



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,879	01/18/2001	Brian Keith Schmidt	0007056-0058/P5318/BBC	0007056-0058/P5318/BBC 9293	
32291	7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER		
MARTINE F	PENILLA & GENCAREI	NGUYEN, QUANG N			
710 LAKEWA	AY DRIVE			<del>-</del> .	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2141	2141	
		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/765,879	SCHMIDT, BRIAN KEITH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quang N Nguyen	2141	

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Quang N Nguyen	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
	eal, but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The Not	tica of Annual				
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		•					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		I E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		colou ciaimo.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	<b>(</b> , .				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,7-10,14-17 and 21-24.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate and the contract of the con	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
·							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims and introduced new limitation such as "... said compute capsule being configured to provide an encapsulated form that is capable of being moved between computers without restriction" that would require further search and consideration.

RUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER